Approved For Release 2001/08/08: CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5

Secret

NOFORN .



HR

STAFF NOTES:

Middle East Africa South Asia

Secret 1/46

No. 0883/75 November 20, 1975

Approved For Release 2001/08/08: CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5

NOFORN/NOCONTRACT/ORCON

Warning Notice Sensitive Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved (WNINTEL)

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-NOCONTRACT-

Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals Not Releasable to Contractors or

Contractor/Consultants

PROPIN-USIBONLY-ORCON-

Caution-Proprietary Information Involved USIB Departments Only

Dissemination and Extraction of Information

REL . . . -

Controlled by Originator

This Information has been Authorized for

Release to ...

Classified by 010725 Exempt from general declassification schedule of E.O. 11652, exemption category: § 58(1), (2), and (3)
Automatically declassified on: Date Impossible to Determine

Approved For Release 2001/08/6EGR F079T00865A002200120001-5

MIDDLE EAST - AFRICA - SOUTH ASIA

This publication is prepared for regional specialists in the Washington community by the Middle East - Africa Division, Office of Current Intelligence, with occasional contributions from other offices within the Directorate of Intelligence. Comments and queries are welcome. They should be directed to the authors of the individual articles.

CONTENTS

USSR-Lebanon: Turmoil							•	•]
USSR-Afghanista Kabul		-	_	_				0	_	_

Nov 20, 1975

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 : CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5 \mathbf{SECRET}

USSR-Lebanon

Moscow's Reaction to the Turmoil

The Soviets have publicly welcomed the current breathing spell in Lebanon and expressed hope that it will lead to an end to the turmoil. They clearly would prefer to see the emergence of a more leftist government in Lebanon, but fear this cannot be accomplished without risking another Arab-Israeli war.

Among Moscow's worries are:

- --That turmoil in Lebanon might eventually trigger Syrian and Israeli intervention, requiring the Soviets to increase their support of the Arabs.
- --That civil war and foreign intervention in Lebanon could force the fedayeen to abandon their base of operations there and disperse to other Arab countries, diluting Moscow's already limited influence over the fedayeen and increasing their dependence on Arab governments.
- --That the Lebanese situation is distracting the fedayeen and Syrians from objectives more important to the Soviets, specifically, undercutting US influence in the Middle East and isolating Egypt.

Despite the conflict between Soviet interest in supporting the left and avoiding an intensification of the fighting, the Soviets have tried to achieve both goals. They have consistently praised the "restraint and maturity" of Yasir Arafat and the Palestine Liberation Organization for staying out of the fighting, and have labeled the fedayeen who have been involved in the fighting as "terrorists."

(Continued)

Nov 20, 1975

1

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 : CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5 SECRET

Moscow has privately warned the PLO of the danger of Israeli intervention, has approved of its decision to steer clear of the fighting, and has urged it to support the efforts of the Lebanese government to regain control of the country.

At the same time, the Soviets have made clear that they will support the PLO if its people and facilities are threatened. In October, they increased arms shipments to the PLO and sent 30 rocket launchers especially designed for street combat.

The Soviets apparently told Syrian President Asad last month in Moscow that they supported its efforts to reduce tension in Lebanon. The need for a peaceful settlement of the conflict in Lebanon has been the prevailing theme of Moscow's public comment—including its broadcasts to the Arabs—throughout the period of conflict. Last week, Soviet Ambassador Soldatov met with Lebanese Prime Minister Karami, publicly backed his efforts to achieve stability, and urged him to support reforms favorable to the left.

Moscow has consistently championed Lebanese "progressive forces," supporting their positions on the issues at stake and stressing their efforts for a negotiated solution. Moscow seeks increased influence in Lebanese political life and a stronger position for the relatively small Lebanese Communist Party.

The USSR appears to have acquiesced in efforts by the Lebanese Communist Party to enhance its standing with the Lebanese left by participating in the fighting. From the early stages of the conflict, party members have been prominent behind the barricades; in April or May, the Soviets unsuccessfully urged the PLO to arm the party.

(Continued)

Nov 20, 1975

2

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 : CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5 $\stackrel{\cdot}{\text{SECRE1}}$

Moscow apparently has not given the Lebanese Communists all the help they want, however, and may not be able to control party militants. In September, party leaders complained about the lack of more direct Soviet backing. In October, when the shooting threatened to draw in outsiders, the Soviet embassy in Beirut reportedly sought to get the party militants to withdraw from the fighting.

At present, there is not evidence available that Moscow has shipped arms to any leftist combatants, but neither is there any sign that it has sought to halt the flow of Soviet-made arms from Arab countries to them. Kamal Jumblatt's Progressive Socialist Party has continued buying arms directly from East European countries, while the commercial sources of East European-made arms for the conservative Phalangists have dried up. Moscow undoubtedly thinks that as long as the Phalangists are heavily armed, the Lebanese leftists must have what they need to protect themselves and preserve the influence of the Palestinians in the country.

If intense fighting resumes, the Soviets will probably continue to do what they can to curb fedayeen or Syrian actions that could lead to general hostilities in the Middle East. At the same time, Moscow will seek to preserve its ties with the fedayeen and Lebanese leftists, perhaps by offering more explicit Soviet supportive actions in the event the Muslim position is threatened and by moving directly to ensure adequate supplies of arms. (SECRET NOFORN/NOCONTARCT/ORCON)

25X1A

3

USSR-Afghanistan

Podgorny May Visit Kabul

Soviet chief of state Podgorny will visit
Kabul in the near future,

The report seems plausible since
the Soviets and the Afghans routinely exchange
visits on an annual basis and Afghan President Daoud
was in Moscow in June 1974. Podgorny's trip is
ostensibly to inspect a Soviet irrigation project,
but his main concern is probably to take a firsthand look at the Daoud government since the President's
purges of leftist officials, including cabinet members, in August and September.

have already demonstrated their displeasure over Daoud's actions against Afghan leftists by dragging their feet in supplying agreed-upon military equipment. He says that Moscow has postponed delivery of tanks until next April and that there are at least 4,000 crates of Soviet military items stalled at the border awaiting clearance from Moscow.

Soviet military
specialists attached to Argnan units have been less
active. the Soviets
denied visas to Afghan students scheduled to participate in an exchange program in the Soviet Union
last month.

Moscow is undoubtedly displeased about Daoud's move against the leftists, but the available evidence does not bear out Afghan allegations that the Soviets are using military aid and the student exchange program to express their concern. For one thing, Moscow has continued to provide weapons to Kabul since Daoud took action against the leftists. In fact, deliveries since August have been greater than in the preceding months in 1975. It is possible that the backlog at the border was created because the Soviets wanted to

(Continued)

Nov 20, 1975

25X1C

25X1C

25X1B

25X1C

25X1C

.

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 ; CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5 $\stackrel{\text{SECRET}}{\text{SECRET}}$

25X1C

move the material quickly into Afghanistan before winter set in and the paper work has not yet caught up.

The Soviet-Afghan student exchange program has been plagued with problems before. The real issue has not been state-to-state relations, but rather Daoud's desire to exercise more control over the selection of scholarship recipients. (SECRET NOFORN/NOCONTRACT/ORCON)

25X1A

Nov 20, 1975

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 : CIA-RDP79T00865A002200120001-5 Secret

Secret